

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,437	LORTZ, VICTOR B.
	Examiner Taghi T. Arani	Art Unit 2131

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Crystal D. Sayles.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2006

Time: 13:34

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-8, 12, 15-18, 20 and 26.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Crytal Sayles to authorize to enter an examiner amendment to more closely define the meaning of "permission level" into independent claims 1, 12, 20 and 26. The proposed amendment which were agreed upon was to incorporate "the permission level from the group consisting of owner level, editor level, reviewer level and none level" into independent claims 1, 12, 20 and 26. The applicant representative agreed the amendment to the claims 1, 12, 20 and 26 and the corresponding dependent claims 5-8, 15-18 be entered as an examiner amendment upon allowance..